
From: Wu, Jennifer
To: Peterson, Erik
Sent: 7/8/2014 1:00:04 PM
Subject: RE: OR CZARA pesticides - RW grouped comments and other XL files

Thanks for the review, Erik, and also the finer points. RE: "noted" vs. another way to say it, it'll be interesting to see how others interpret that. I actually do think it's important and wondered as I was summarizing whether I was taking a biased tone one way or the other. Good things for us to talk about!

Thanks also for the other changes and for looking it over so quickly. Hope you had a good time in Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy and Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy!

From: Peterson, Erik
Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2014 9:50 AM
To: Wu, Jennifer; Henning, Alan; Helder, Dirk; Woodruff, Leigh
Cc: Carlin, Jayne; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Waye, Don; Solloway, Chris
Subject: RE: OR CZARA pesticides - RW grouped comments and other XL files

Jenny,

The summaries look very good to me. The comment letters I reviewed appear to be covered.

A few comments for your consideration.

- By saying, "One commenter NOTED", are we potentially implying that they are noting a fact – e.g., that the Oregon Health Authority allows aerial drift two to four miles? "Noted" may not mean this, but you could consider using "commenter stated" in case we are concerned that people may interpret the use of "noted" as recognition of commenters comments as statements of fact, when they may or may not be completely accurate. Another interpretation of "noted" could be that recognition that a person has understood something. Either way, and this is hairsplitting and may not matter for our purposes here, it seems at least possible that by using noted it could imply that we view the commenters comment as fact, or as an accurate representation, indicating understanding.
- While I was reading the document I made a couple copy edit type changes. These are captured in the enclosed version.
- I did not make any substantive additions or deletions.
- I imagine that some refinements will become more apparent as the responses are developed.

Again, the summary looks like an excellent start to me.

Erik Peterson
Office of Ecosystems, Tribal and Public Affairs
EPA Region 10 - Seattle
peterson.erik@epa.gov
206-553-6382

From: Wu, Jennifer
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2014 1:28 PM
To: Henning, Alan; Helder, Dirk; Peterson, Erik; Woodruff, Leigh
Cc: Carlin, Jayne; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Waye, Don; Solloway, Chris
Subject: OR CZARA pesticides - RW grouped comments and other XL files

Hi All (FYI to Jayne, Allison, Don, Chris), a few things:

1. I've finished grouping and summarizing comments, so please review and edit them by July 24. I'll have the second draft out to you with everyone's comments so we have a working version for our meeting on July 28. Please reply to all when you finish your edits. I suggest looking over your comments and seeing whether I captured them well enough in the summarized, group comments. Take a look at the word document for the grouped comments and the XL spreadsheet which breaks out the comments by category in each tab. I'm around tomorrow so if you have questions, feel free to let me know.
2. Tetrattech did a nice job of reviewing the references we compiled. I have a couple of more to add, but check it out if you're interested. (Scroll down to pesticides section.)
3. I talked with the R10 Pesticides Unit and Dirk about some background on the HQ perspective on the pest litigation. Gabriela Carvalho and Linda Liu are following up on some specific questions I had.

So again, I'm out from July 9 -22, so feel free to let me know if you have questions. In the meanwhile, thanks very much for looking this over, and I look forward to seeing your comments and edits!

Thanks,
Jenny

Jenny Wu
USEPA Region 10
Office of Water and Watersheds (OWW-134)
Environmental Engineer, Watershed Unit
1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98101
(206)553-6328